Message

From: Messina, Edward [Messina.Edward@epa.gov]

Sent: 10/5/2021 5:39:05 PM

To: Nesci, Kimberly [Nesci.Kimberly@epa.gov]; Ozmen, Shamus [Ozmen.Shamus@epa.gov]; Nguyen, Thuy

[Nguyen.Thuy@epa.gov]; Goodis, Michael [Goodis.Michael@epa.gov]

CC: Anderson, Neil [Anderson.Neil@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: OPP IO review RE: E&E request for PFAS background/off-the-record interview

Sounds good.

Ed Messina, Esq.

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs

Office of Chemical Safety & Pollution Prevention

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Washington, D.C. p: (703) 347-0209

From: Nesci, Kimberly < Nesci. Kimberly@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 1:27 PM

To: Ozmen, Shamus <Ozmen.Shamus@epa.gov>; Nguyen, Thuy <Nguyen.Thuy@epa.gov>; Messina, Edward

<Messina.Edward@epa.gov>; Goodis, Michael <Goodis.Michael@epa.gov>

Cc: Anderson, Neil < Anderson. Neil@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: OPP IO review RE: E&E request for PFAS background/off-the-record interview

Yes, thank you, Thuy!

From: Ozmen, Shamus < Ozmen. Shamus@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 12:45 PM

To: Nguyen, Thuy < Nguyen. Thuy@epa.gov>; Messina, Edward < Messina. Edward@epa.gov>; Nesci, Kimberly

<Nesci.Kimberly@epa.gov>; Goodis, Michael <Goodis.Michael@epa.gov>

Cc: Anderson, Neil < Anderson. Neil@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: OPP IO review RE: E&E request for PFAS background/off-the-record interview

Thanks Thuy.

I'll forward the revised response:

The Permanone 30-30 samples were not in the same type of containers as were the samples for the Anvil 10+10 product. The Permanone samples were taken from stainless steel containers and the Anvil samples were taken from fluorinated HDPE containers.

From: Nguyen, Thuy < Nguyen. Thuy @epa.gov > Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 12:33 PM

To: Messina, Edward < Messina. Edward@epa.gov >; Ozmen, Shamus < Ozmen. Shamus@epa.gov >; Nesci, Kimberly

<Nesci.Kimberly@epa.gov>; Goodis, Michael <Goodis.Michael@epa.gov>

Cc: Anderson, Neil < Anderson. Neil@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: OPP IO review RE: E&E request for PFAS background/off-the-record interview

Ed – sorry, Can I propose some [late] changes?

Response:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Thanks Thuv

From: Messina, Edward < Messina. Edward@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 12:27 PM

To: Ozmen, Shamus < Ozmen. Shamus@epa.gov>; Nesci, Kimberly < Nesci, Kimberly@epa.gov>; Goodis, Michael

<Goodis.Michael@epa.gov>

Cc: Anderson, Neil < Anderson. Neil@epa.gov>; Nguyen, Thuy < Nguyen. Thuy@epa.gov> Subject: RE: OPP IO review RE: E&E request for PFAS background/off-the-record interview

Approved.

Ed Messina, Esq.
Director, Office of Pesticide Programs
Office of Chemical Safety & Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, D.C.
p: (703) 347-0209

From: Ozmen, Shamus < Ozmen. Shamus@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 8:06 AM

To: Nesci, Kimberly < Nesci.Kimberly@epa.gov>; Messina, Edward < Messina.Edward@epa.gov>; Goodis, Michael < Goodis.Michael@epa.gov>

Cc: Anderson, Neil <<u>Anderson.Neil@epa.gov</u>>; Nguyen, Thuy <<u>Nguyen.Thuy@epa.gov</u>> **Subject:** OPP IO review RE: E&E request for PFAS background/off-the-record interview

Thanks Kimberly. OPA may not pursue the background interview since any new information we have is posted online now (the method and MD test results).

For the reporter's question regarding if the MA and MD containers were the same, is this response approved:

From: Nesci, Kimberly < Nesci. Kimberly @epa.gov>

Sent: Monday, October 4, 2021 3:30 PM

To: Ozmen, Shamus <<u>Ozmen.Shamus@epa.gov</u>>; Messina, Edward <<u>Messina.Edward@epa.gov</u>>; Goodis, Michael <<u>Goodis.Michael@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Anderson, Neil < Anderson. Neil@epa.gov >; Nguyen, Thuy < Nguyen. Thuy@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: E&E request for PFAS background/off-the-record interview

The containers were not the same as the Mass containers. The MD containers (for Permanone) were stainless steel. I can do tomorrow or Wed, with some exceptions (OPP General, ESA meetings, and 10:25 on Wednesday for a conversation with Region 3).

Can we get questions in advance? I expect technical questions around what changed for the MD samples (since initial results said yes – I think we note that in the report).

From: Ozmen, Shamus < Ozmen. Shamus@epa.gov>

Sent: Monday, October 4, 2021 2:45 PM

To: Messina, Edward < Messina. Edward@epa.gov>; Goodis, Michael < Goodis. Michael@epa.gov>; Nesci, Kimberly

<Nesci.Kimberly@epa.gov>

Subject: E&E request for PFAS background/off-the-record interview

Importance: High

Hi Ed and Kimberly,

Ariel Wittenberg of E&E News would like to have a background interview to better understand the fluorination issue and PFAS and the MD samples. This would be similar to the interview you two had with Pat Rizzuto/Bloomberg last March, with the point of providing an education rather than a particular storyline yet. Would you feel comfortable with this? If yes, would tomorrow or Wednesday be a possibility (I'll also check with Ann).

Also, Ariel would like know if the containers for the MA samples were the same in any way with MD samples – type of HDPE, same manufacturer, same fluorination or other fluorination?

Thank you,

Shamus Ozmen Communications Branch Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Mobile: (571) 442-9844